

An application of similarity
Measure of soft sets in decision
Making

SUBMITTED BY- SOUGATA CHATTERJEE

REG NO : 202001020438 of 2020-21

ROLL NO : 200313700012

SEM : VI

***Government General Degree College,
Kalna-1,***

UNIVERSITY OF BURDWAN

***IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENT FOR THE BACHELOR DEGREE OF
SCIENCE IN MATHEMATICS***

Outlines

1. Abstract
2. Introduction
3. Preliminaries
4. Matrix Representation of Soft Sets
5. Similarity Measures of Soft Sets
6. An Application in Decision Making
7. Conclusion
8. References

Sougata Chatterjee

Abstract

Soft set, as a parametrized family of subsets of a crisp universal set, has more ability to handle uncertainty condition. Similarity between two sets helps us to compare the nature of two sets. In this paper , I give an application of similarity measure of soft sets to handle for a decision-making problem in a real-life situation.

Introduction

There are several techniques to represent and solve various types of uncertainties prevailing in this physical world. In 1999 Molodstov[6] has introduced the concept of soft sets. The soft set theory has a great potential for solving so many real-life problems.

Again, in several problems, it is often needed to compare two sets. Majumdar & Samanta[2] has given some techniques of similarity measure of soft sets. In this paper I am going to present an application in decision making using similarity measurement of two soft sets.

Preliminaries

Definition^[6]: Let U be an initial universe and E be a set of parameters. Let $\wp(U)$ denotes the power set of U and $A \subset E$. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set if and only if F is a mapping of A into $\wp(U)$.

Example: Suppose U is the set of house under consideration. E is, a phrase or a sentence. Suppose that there are six houses in the universal set, given by $U = \{h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be the set of parameters,

where $e_1 = \text{expensive}$, $e_2 = \text{beautiful}$, $e_3 = \text{cheap}$, $e_4 = \text{in green surroundings}$.

Suppose that $F: E \rightarrow \wp(U)$ is given by $F(e_1) = \{h_1, h_2\}$, $F(e_2) = \{h_1, h_2, h_3\}$, $F(e_3) = \{h_3, h_6\}$, $F(e_4) = \{h_1, h_4, h_6\}$. Here (F, E) describes a soft set such that the houses h_1, h_2 are expensive; the houses h_2, h_3, h_4 are *beautiful*; the houses h_3, h_6 are cheap and the houses h_1, h_4, h_6 are in green surroundings.

Matrix representation of soft set^[7]

Let (F, E) be a soft set over the universe U , where $U = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Then the soft set (F, E) can be represented by a $m \times n$ matrix such that the $(i, j)^{th}$ entry of the matrix is 1 if $x_i \in F(e_j)$ and it is 0 if $x_i \notin F(e_j)$.

Example: Let us consider the soft set (F, E) over the universe, where $U = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ such that $F(e_1) = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $F(e_2) = \{x_3\}$, $F(e_3) = \{x_1, x_3\}$, $F(e_4) = \{x_2\}$.

Then the matrix representation of this soft set is given by

(F, E)	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4
x_1	1	0	1	0
x_2	1	0	0	1
x_3	0	1	1	0

Here we denote j^{th} column of the matrix by the vector $\vec{F}(e_j)$, e.g. here $\vec{F}(e_1) = (1,1,0)$.

Similarity measure of soft sets^[9]

Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft sets over a common universe U . If $A = B$, then similarity between (F, A) and (G, B) is defined by

$$S(F, G) = \frac{\sum_i \vec{F}(e_i) \cdot \vec{G}(e_i)}{\sum_i [\vec{F}(e_i)^2 \vee \vec{G}(e_i)^2]}$$

If $A \neq B$ and $E = A \cup B \neq \phi$, then we first define $\vec{F}(e) = \underline{0}$ for $e \in E/A$ and $\vec{G}(e) = \underline{0}$ for $e \in E/B$. Then $S(F, G)$ is defined by the above formula.

Example: Let us consider two soft sets (F, E) and (G, E) over U , where $U = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. The matrix representation of these two soft sets are given by

$$(F, E) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } (G, E) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Then the similarity measurement of these two soft sets are

$$\text{given by } S(F, G) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{F}(e_i) \cdot \vec{G}(e_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^3 [F(e_i)^2 \vee G(e_i)^2]} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Note: If $S(F, G) \geq 0.5$, then the two soft sets are called significantly similar. So in the above case the two soft sets are not significantly similar.

Theorem^[2] : Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft sets over the same finite universe U . Then the followings hold:

- i) $S(F, G) = S(G, F)$
- ii) $0 \leq S(F, G) \leq 1$
- iii) $S(F, F) = 1$

The proof is trivial.

An application in decision making

Suppose Sourav wants to buy a house at Burdwan. He goes to a real estate company. The company has to find suitable house for Sourav based on some criterions.

Suppose the company has two houses, H_1 and H_2 . Now the problem is which house is suitable for Sourav.

Now the company creates a set $U = \{1,2\}$, where 1 indicates '*Strong preference*' or '*Yes*' and 2 indicates '*Less preference*' or '*No*'.

Also the company considers a parameter set $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$

Where

$e_1 =$ near rail station

$e_2 =$ in green surroundings

$e_3 =$ well furnished

$e_4 = \text{low cost}$

$e_5 = \text{car parking available}$

$e_6 = \text{place for gardening available}$

$e_7 = \text{near hospital}$

$e_8 = \text{south facing}$

Based on Sourav's preferences the company creates a soft set which is

<i>Sourav</i>	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_8
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
2	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0

The soft set indicates that Sourav strongly preference that the house should be '*In green surroundings*', '*Low cost*', '*Place for gardening available*', '*South facing*'.

Again based on the condition of houses H_1 and H_2 the company creates two soft sets for house H_1 and house H_2 . The soft set for H_1 is

H_1	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_8
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1

i.e. the houses H_1 is 'near rail station', 'carparking available' and is not 'in green surroundings', is not 'well furnished', is not 'low cost', no 'place for gardening available', is not 'near hospital'.

Here similarity measure between the soft sets of Sourav and H_1 is given by

$$S(\text{Sourav}, H_1) = 0.25 < 0.5$$

So the two soft sets are not significantly similar.

Also for the set for H_2 is

H_2	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7	e_8
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

i.e. the house H_2 is 'in green surroundings' is 'well furnished', is of 'low cost', has 'car parking available', has 'place for gardening', is 'south facing' and the house H_2 is not 'near hospital'.


Here the similarity measure between the soft sets of Sourav and H_2 is given by $S(\text{Sourav}, H_2) = 0.75 > 0.5$.

So the two soft sets are significantly similar.

So probably the company choose the house H_2 for Sourav.

Conclusion

In recent some researchers have given the concept of type 2 soft set which is more useful to deal with problem of uncertainties. Also there are various scope of research like similarity, matrix representation, relations of type 2 soft sets and their applications.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, consisting of a long, sweeping underline above the name "Amitha" written in a cursive style.

References

1. S.M. Chen, et al., A comparison of similarity measures of fuzzy values, FSS 72 (1995) 79-89.
2. P. Majumdar, S.K. Samanta, similarity measure of soft sets.
3. P. Majumdar, On distance based similarity measure between intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets.
4. P. K. Maji, et al., Fuzzy soft-sets, The J. of Fuzzy Math 9(3) (2001) 589-602.
5. P.K. Maji, et al., soft sets theory, Computers and Math with Appl 37 (1999) 19-31.
6. D.Molodtsov, Soft set theory – first results, Computers Math with Appl 37 (1999) 19-31.
7. N. Cagman, S. Enginoglu, Soft matrix theory and its decision making